1 2	Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261) kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	Steven Cherny (admitted pro hac vice) steven.cherny@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
2	SULLIVAN LLP 51 Madison Avenue, 22 nd Floor	601 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022
3	New York, NY 10010	Telephone: (212) 446-4800
4	Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	Facsimile: (212) 446-4900
5	Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032) seanpak@quinnemanuel.com	Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834) adam.alper@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
6	Amy H. Candido (SBN 237829)	555 California Street
7	amycandido@quinnemanuel.com	San Francisco, California 94104
′	John M. Neukom (SBN 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com.	Telephone: (415) 439-1400 Facsimile: (415) 439-1500
8	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	raesimile. (413) 439-1300
9	SULLIVAN LLP	Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)
	50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	michael.devries@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
10	Telephone: (415) 875-6600	333 South Hope Street
11	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	Los Angeles, California 90071
	Mark Tung (SBN 245782)	Telephone: (213) 680-8400 Facsimile: (213) 680-8500
12	marktung@quinnemanuel.com	
13	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP	
	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor	
14	Redwood Shores, CA 94065	
15	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	
	1 desimile. (650) 661 5166	
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.	
17	IINITED STATES	DISTRICT COLIDT
18	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA	LIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
20	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,	CASE NO. 5:14-cv-5344-BLF (NC)
21	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF SARA E. JENKINS
22	vs.	IN SUPPORT OF ARISTA'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
23	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,	UNDER SEAL CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION IN ARISTA'S
24	Defendant.	OPPOSITIONS TO CISCO'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF DR.
2.5	Deteriorit.	JOHN BLACK (DKT. 462).
25		
26		

02099-00004/8283627.1

27

28

DECLARATION OF SARA E. JENKINS

I, Sara E. Jenkins, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am admitted to practice before this Court. I am an associate with the law firm Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco"). I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in this Declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those matters.
- 2. I make this declaration in in support of Arista Networks Inc.'s ("Arista")

 Administrative Motion to Under Seal Confidential Information in connection with Arista's

 Opposition to Cisco's Motion to Exclude Expert Opinion Testimony of Dr. John Black

 ("Opposition."). Dkt. 462. I make this declaration in accordance with Civil Local Rule 79
 5(e)(1) on behalf of Cisco to confirm that the information contained in the documents referenced in the Sealing Motion should be sealed.
- 3. As an Opposition to a Motion to Exclude Expert Testimony, Arista's Opposition is non-dispositive. In this context, materials may be sealed so long as the party seeking sealing makes a "particularized showing" under the "good cause" standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). *Kamkana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1138 (9th Cir. 2003)). In addition, Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, that the document is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id*.
- **4.** Pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5(e), good cause exists to seal the portions of the documents set forth below, because the information sought to be sealed reflects confidential information that "give[s] [Cisco] an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do

02099-00004/8283627.1

DECLARATION OF SARA E. JENKINS IN SUPPORT OF ARISTA'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL

1	not know or use it." In re Elec. Arts, Inc., 298 F. App'x 568, 569 (9th Cir. 2008) (quoting	
2	Restatement of Torts § 757, cmt b).	
3	5. The highlighted text on page 8 at lines 25-26 of Arista's Opposition contain	
4	information that was designated by Cisco as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only	
5	the protective order in this matter. This portion of text comprises Cisco's confidential bu	
6	information regarding a confidential litigation settlement. Disclosing this information to	
7	competitors would harm Cisco's business by, inter alia, allowing Cisco's competitors to l	
8	Cisco's litigation strategies and allowing competitors to in turn adopt and/or counteract Ci	
9	strategies. This would "harm [Cisco's] competitive standing." Nixon v. Warner Commc's	
10	435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978).	
11	6. Cisco does not seek to seal any other portions of Arista's Opposition, or an	
12	other documents cited in Arista's Administrative Motion to Seal. Dkt. 462.	
13		
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	
15	foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in Redwood Shores,	
16	California, on August 23, 2016.	
17		
18	/s/ Sara E. Jenkins Sara E. Jenkins	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	2	

n page 8 at lines 25-26 of Arista's Opposition contains Cisco as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under his portion of text comprises Cisco's confidential business litigation settlement. Disclosing this information to Cisco's ness by, inter alia, allowing Cisco's competitors to learn of ring competitors to in turn adopt and/or counteract Cisco's s] competitive standing." Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.,

seal any other portions of Arista's Opposition, or any of the ministrative Motion to Seal. Dkt. 462.